Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/005,577	LEFKOWITZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Timothy Joyce</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 February 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 53-57		
Prior art documents discussed:	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare 	examiner will provide a	written summary of the substance
•	N	,
N_		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner informed Mr. Joyce that the remarks regarding the rejections were convincing for all claims except 53-57 because those claims do not require covalent linkage of the polymer to the surface coating. The examiner stated that cancellation of Claims 53-57 would place the remaining claims in condition for allowance. Mr. Joyce agreed to

BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER